

Impact of the 20th Century stratospheric ozone depletion on increasing precipitation in South Eastern South America

Paula L. M. Gonzalez

International Research Institute for Climate and Society – Columbia University

Lorenzo M. Polvani · Richard Seager · Gustavo J. P. Correa Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory – Columbia University



GPCCv4 DJF Precipitation 1960-1999

40[°] W

SESA has experienced a strong wetting trend over the complete



FIGURE 1. Changes in soybean cropping area over time for Argentina. One dot = 1000 ha. Lines delimit Southeastern South America region shown in Figure 2.

MOTIVATIONS



MOTIVATIONS: CMIP3/CMIP5 – 20th Century



MOTIVATIONS: why thinking about ozone?

Ozone depletion has been shown to be one of the main drivers of climate change in the Southern Hemisphere (e.g. Polvani et al. 2011)

In particular, it has been linked to the observed wetting of the SH subtropics (Kang et al 2011)



Fig. 2. Modeled precipitation change caused by the ozone hole. Shading shows austral summer precipitation difference (in mm day⁻¹) induced by ozone depletion in (A) the coupled CMAM, (B) the uncoupled CMAM, (C) the uncoupled CAM3, and (D) the uncoupled CAM3 with ozone depletion

confined to 40°S to 90°S. Black contours show the mean precipitation in the respective reference integrations, with contour interval of 3 mm day⁻¹. Locations where the response is significant at the 95% confidence level are hatched.

60°W

0.6

0.4

0.2

-0.2

-0.4

-0.6

-0.8

0 day



The fact that that the trend in SFSA strengthens around 1960 and that this is only seen during summer could be evidences of the influence of ozone depletion.

MOTIVATIONS: CMIP3/CMIP5 - 1960-1999



* Some CMIP5 models do better (e.g. GFDL CM3) but the spread is still very large .

* These ensembles provide inconsistent evidences of the influence of ozone depletion.



OBJECTIVE

To assess if stratospheric ozone depletion contributed to the increase in precipitation over SESA during 1960-1999.

METHODOLOGY

Create a hierarchy of GCMs simulations from different modeling centers that allow to assess the regional impact of ozone depletion and, in some cases, to differentiate it from the impact of increased GHGs.

EXPERIMENTS



CMAM

'GHG-only': difference between ozone hole and reference climatologies

CAM3

'all forcings': difference between BOTH2000 and REF1960 climatologies

'GHG-only': difference between GHG2000 and REF1960 climatologies

'ozone-only': difference between OZONE2000 and REF1960 climatologies

EXPERIMENTS: CMAM time-slice runs

CMAM time-slices - DJF Precipitation

CMAM (Environment Canada/CCCma) uncoupled/coupled T63 L71 - top: 100km AGCM(1m) CGCM(3m) Kang et al. 2011 a) AGCM(1) - Mean Field



c) Ozone-only AGCM(1) - Change

b) CGCM(3) - Mean Field



d) Ozone-only CGCM(3) - Change





100 200 300 400 500



EXPERIMENTS: CAM3 time-slice runs

CAM3 (UCAR) uncoupled T42 L26 - top: 2.2hPa single runs Polvani et al. 2011

a) All forcings(1) - Mean Field



c) GHG-only(1) - Change



b) All forcings(1) - Change

CAM3 time-slices - DJF Precipitation



d) Ozone-only(1) - Change





-50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50

Linear Change

EXPERIMENTS

CAM3 LDEO historical -----> CAM3 integrations all forcings(all): 1950-2009, time-varying O₃, GHGs and SSTs/SICs. GHG-only(ghgsst): 1950-2009, like 'all forcings' but O₃@1960 levels ozone-only(o3): 1950-2009, like 'all forcings' but GHGs/SSTs/SICs@1960

40-member ensemble



EXPERIMENTS: CAM3 historical runs

CAM3 (UCAR) uncoupled T42 L26 - top: 3.5hPa 40-member ensemble

CAM3 historical runs - DJF Precipitation 1960-1999

a) All forcings(40) - Mean Field



c) GHGsst-only(40) - Change

80° W 60[°] VP b) All forcings(40) - Change



d) Ozone-only(40) - Change







Linear Change

EXPERIMENTS



all forcings: 1850-2005, all forcings are time-varying GHG-only: 1850-2005, pre-industrial O₃ levels, observed evolving GHGs ozone-only: 1850-2005, pre-industrial GHGs, observed evolving O₃



EXPERIMENTS: CCSM4 single-forcing historical runs

CCSM4 (UCAR) coupled (CAM4/POP2) "1°" - L26 all forcings (5m) GHG-only (3m) ozone-only (3m) Gent et al. 2011 CCSM4 historical runs - DJF Precipitation 1960-1999

a) all forcings(5) - Mean field



c) GHG-only(3) - Change

GPCCv4 DJF Precipitation 1960-1999

200 300 400 500



-50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50



d) ozone-only(3) - Change



EXPERIMENTS

CCMVal-2 historical integrations	REF-B1(all forcings): 1960-2006, all forcings from observations, prescribed SSTs/SICs		
	REF-B2(all forcings): 1960-2100, all forcings from observations + SRES A1B from 2000, simulated SSTs/SICs or CGCM	WACCIV	
	SCN-B2b(GHG-only): 1960-2100, analogous to REF-B2 but O ₃ @1960 levels		
	SCN-B2c(ozone-only): 1960-2100, as REF-B2 but GHGs@1960 levels and SSTs/SICs@1955-1964 average (REF-B2)		

CCMVal-2 : Chemistry-Climate Model Validation Activity – Part 2 Eyring et al. 2008, Morgenstern et al. 2010

EXPERIMENTS: WACCM CCMVal-2 historical runs

WACCM (NCAR) uncoupled sim. SSTs from CCSM3 1.9°x2.5° L66 – top:6x10⁻⁶ hPa all forcings (obs) (4m) all forcings (sim) (3m) GHG-only (1m) ozone-only (1m) Garcia et al. 2007

Linear Change

-30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50

a) all forcings(obs. SST)(4) - Mean field



c) all forcings(obs. SST)(4) - Change





e) GHG-only(1) - Change



b) all forcings(sim. SST)(3) - Mean field



d) all forcings(sim. SST)(3) - Change



f) ozone-only(1) - Change



EXPERIMENTS: CMAM CCMVal-2 historical runs

CMAM (Environment Canada/CCCma) coupled (NCOM1.3) T31L71 – top: 0.00081 hPa all forcings (AGCM) (3m) all forcings (CGCM) (3m) GHG-only (3m) ozone-only (3m) Morgentsen et al. 2011

Linear Change

a) all forcings(obs. SST)(3) - Mean field



c) all forcings(obs. SST)(3) - Change





e) GHG-only(3) - Change



b) all forcings(CGCM)(3) - Mean field



d) all forcings(CGCM)(3) - Change



f) ozone-only(3) - Change



EXPERIMENTS: Summary



SESA DJF precipitation changes for 1960-1999

EXPERIMENTS: Dynamics of the simulated change



CONCLUDING REMARKS

- Throughout the analyzed experiments stratospheric ozone depletion caused a precipitation increase in SESA
- In addition, the increase in GHGs causer smaller increases in precipitation or even a slight drying over SESA
- All the models considered underestimate the precipitation trend over SESA, but so do the CMIP3 and CMIP5 ensembles ...
- In the ozone-only experiment using CAM3 (40 members), as shown by Kang et al. (2011), the radiative-driven changes in the stratosphere force the extratropical jet to shift poleward. The associated changes in the eddy momentum fluxes in the vicinity of South America generate an upper level mass divergence that is compensated with upward motion and moisture convergence, forcing increased precipitation in SESA.



Thanks! ¡Muchas Gracias!



Ozone recovery

Recovery Stages of Global Ozone



Table 3. Date of return to 1980 column and 50 hPa ozone in the AC&C/SPARC ozone database compared to the 1980 baseline-adjusted time series of Eyring et al. (2010a). The range in brackets in the right most columns provides the uncertainty range from the 18 CCMs in Eyring et al. (2010a). For the AC&C ozone database, the stratospheric ozone is shown since tropospheric column ozone differs substantially among the RCP scenarios (see Eyring et al., 2010b).

Region	AC&C/SPARC Stratospheric column ozone	Eyring et al. (2010a) Total column ozone	AC&C/SPARC Ozone at 50 hPa	Eyring et al. (2010a) Ozone at 50 hPa
Tropies annual mean	-	2042 [2028, -]	_	- [-, -]
Midlatitude NH annual mean	2054	2021 [2014, 2029]	_	2043 [2024, -]
Midlatitude SH annual mean	2031	2035 [2030, 2040]	2049	2058 [2035, -]
Antarctic October mean	2045	2051 [2046, 2057]	2065	2057 [2049-2065]
Arctic March mean	2031	2026 [2023, 2031]	2035	2031 [2023–2041]



Cionni et al. 2011

GHG-only dynamics

