CLIMATE DIAGNOSTICS BULLETIN



OCTOBER 2022

NEAR REAL-TIME OCEAN / ATMOSPHERE

Monitoring, Assessments, and Prediction

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Weather Service

National Centers for Environmental Prediction

CLIMATE DIAGNOSTICS BULLETIN



CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER Attn: Climate Diagnostics Bulletin Room 3116, NCWCP 5830 University Research Court College Park, MD 20740

Chief Editor: Wei Shi

Editors: Michelle L'Heureux and Emerson LaJoie

Bulletin Production: Wei Shi

ExternalCollaborators:

Center for Ocean-Atmospheric Prediction Studies (COAPS)

Cooperative Institute for Research in the Atmosphere (CIRA)

Earth & Space Research

International Research Institute for Climate and Society (IRI)

Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean (JISAO)

Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory (LDEO)

NOAA-CIRES, Climate Diagnostics Center

NOAA-AOML, Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory

NOAA-NESDIS-STAR, Center for Satellite Applications and Research

NOAA-NDBC, National Data Buoy Center

Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Software: Most of the bulletin figures generated at CPC are created using the Grid Analysis and Display System (GrADS).

- Climate Diagnostics Bulletin available on the World Wide Web
The CDB is available on the World Wide Web. The address of the online version of the CDB is:
http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/CDB
If you have any problems accessing the bulletin, contact Dr. Wei Shi by E-mail:
Wei.Shi@noaa.gov

Table of Contents

Т	R	\cap	D	ς
- 1	ח	\mathbf{U}	Г	J

of Oceanic Indices page 8	FIGURE
Time Series	
Southern Oscillation Index (SOI)	T1
Tahiti and Darwin SLP Anomalies	T1
OLR Anomalies	T1
CDAS/Reanalysis SOI & Equatorial SOI	T2
200-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies	T3
500-hPa Temperature Anomalies	Т3
30-hPa and 50-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies	T3
850-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies	T4
Equatorial Pacific SST Anomalies	T5
Time-Longitude Sections	
Mean and Anomalous Sea Level Pressure	T6
Mean and Anomalous 850-hPa Zonal Wind	T7
Mean and Anomalous OLR	Т8
Mean and Anomalous SST	T9
Pentad SLP Anomalies	T10
Pentad OLR Anomalies	T11
Pentad 200-hPa Velocity Potential Anomalies	T12
Pentad 850-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies	T13
Anomalous Equatorial Zonal Wind	T14
Mean and Anomalous Depth of the 20°C Isotherm	T15
Mean & Anomaly Fields	
Depth of the 20°C Isotherm	T16
Subsurface Equatorial Pacific Temperatures	T17
SST	T18
SLP	T19
850-hPa Vector Wind	T20
200-hPa Vector Wind	T21
200-hPa Streamfunction	T22
200-hPa Divergence	T23
200-hPa Velocity Potential and Divergent Wind	T24
OLR	T25
SSM/I Tropical Precipitation Estimates	T26
Cloud Liquid Water	T27
Precipitable Water	T28
Divergence & E-W Divergent Circulation	T29-T30
Pacific Zonal Wind & N-S Divergent Circulation	T31 - T32

Tropical Drifting Buoys

A1.1

FIGURE

	Pacific Wind Stress and Anomalies Satellite-Derived Surface Currents	A1.2 A1.3 - A1.4
FORECAST FOR		
	າ page 45	
	Canonical Correlation Analysis Forecasts NCEP Coupled Model Forecasts NCEP Markov Model Forecasts LDEO Model Forecasts ENSO-CLIPER Model Forecast Model Forecasts of Niño 3.4	F1 - F2 F3 - F4 F5 - F6 F7 - F8 F9 F10
EXTRATROPICS		
Highlights	5 page 57	
	eleconnection Indices page 59	
14512 01 10	Global Surface Temperature	E1
	Temperature Anomalies (Land Only)	E2
	Global Precipitation	E3
	Regional Precipitation Estimates	E4 - E5
	U. S. Precipitation	E6
Nort	hern Hemisphere	
	Teleconnection Indices	E7
	Mean and Anomalous SLP	E8
	Mean and Anomalous 500-hPa heights Mean and Anomalous 300-hPa Wind Vectors	E9 E10
	500-hPa Persistence	E11
	Time-Longitude Sections of 500-hPa Height Anomalies	E12
	700-hPa Storm Track	E13
Sout	hern Hemisphere	
	Mean and Anomalous SLP	E14
	Mean and Anomalous 500-hPa heights	E15
	Mean and Anomalous 300-hPa Wind Vectors	E16
	500-hPa Persistence	E17
a	Time-Longitude Sections of 500-hPa Height Anomalies	E18
Strat	cosphere	
	Height Anomalies	S1 - S2
	Temperatures	S3 - S4
	Ozone	S5 - S6
	Vertical Component of EP Flux Ozone Hole	S7 S8
۸۵۵		30
дрр	endix 2: Additional Figures Arctic Oscillation and 500-hPa Anomalies	A2.1
	Snow Cover	A2.1 A2.2

Tropical Highlights - October 2022

During October 2022, below-average sea surface temperatures (SSTs) persisted across the central and eastern equatorial Pacific (Fig. T18). The latest monthly Niño indices based on OISSTV2.1 were -1.8°C for the Niño 1+2 region, -0.9°C for the Niño 3.4 region and -1.1°C for the Niño 4 region (Table T2). The depth of the oceanic thermocline (measured by the depth of the 20°C isotherm) was below-average across the eastern equatorial Pacific (Figs. T15, T16). The corresponding subsurface temperatures were 1-4°C below-average (Fig. T17).

Also during October, the lower-level easterly winds and upper-level westerly winds were above-average across most of the equatorial Pacific (Table T1, Fig. T20). Meanwhile, tropical convection was suppressed over much of the central and western equatorial Pacific and enhanced over Indonesia (Fig. T25). Collectively, these oceanic and atmospheric anomalies were consistent with La Niña conditions.

For the latest status of the ENSO cycle see the ENSO Diagnostic Discussion at: http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis monitoring/enso advisory/index.html

	2	<u>:</u>); 	0100	7 - 200	3	200-hPa	
	SLP An	SLP Anomalies	lahiti minus	850-n <i>F</i> a	850-nPa Zonal Wind Index	ndex	Wind Index	OLK Index
Month	Tahiti	Darwin	Darwin SOI	5N-5S 135E-180	5N-5S 175W- 140W	5N-5S 135W- 120W	5N-5S 165W- 110W	5N-5S 160E-160W
OCT 22	1.6	-1.5	1.7	1.6	1.9	1.3	1.5	1.2
SEP 22	2.1	6.0-	1.6	1.3	1.0	1.1	0.1	1.2
AUG 22	0.7	-1.2	1.0	1.3	1.3	6.0	9.0	1.2
JUL 22	1.2	-0.3	8:0	1.9	1.7	1.5	2.3	8.0
JUN 22	1.8	-1.2	1.7	1.1	0.4	0.0	8.0	1.0
MAY 22	1.6	-1.1	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.7	1.5
APR 22	1.8	-1.4	1.7	1.1	1.4	0.7	1.8	1.6
MAR 22	2.4	8.0-	1.8	1.5	1.7	1.1	2.2	1.8
FEB 22	2.1	0.1	1.1	1.5	1.7	1.9	2.1	1.6
JAN 22	8.0	-0.2	0.5	0.1	-0.0	0.4	1.8	1.1
DEC 21	6.1	6:0-	1.5	0.2	1.7	1.3	3.4	1.1
NOV 21	1.2	9:0-	1.0	2.2	1.4	0.7	1.1	1.4
OCT 21	0.2	-1.1	0.7	1.0	1.0	9.0	0.3	1.2

TABLE T1 - Atmospheric index values for the most recent 12 months. Indices are standardized by the mean annual standard deviation, except for the Tahiti and Darwin SLP anomalies which are in units of hPa. Positive (negative) values of 200-hPa zonal wind index imply westerly (easterly) anomalies. Positive (negative) values of 850-hPa zonal wind indices imply easterly (westerly) anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period means.

<u>۲</u>	CS 30S	27.3	27.1	27.1	27.3	27.9	28.3	28.5	28.1	27.7	27.6	27.5	27.8	27.6
GLOBAL TROPICS 10N-10S	TROPICS 10N-10S 0-360	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	0.1	0.0
	1- 35 10E	23.7	23.2	23.3	23.9	25.4	26.3	27.2	27.5	27.2	25.9	24.7	24.9	23.8
C SST	S. ATL 0-205 30W-10E	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.0	6.0	0.4
ATLANTIC SST N.ATL 5N-20N	N.ATL 5N-20N 60W-30W	28.4	28.6	28.1	27.4	27.1	26.7	25.9	25.6	26.1	26.3	27.1	27.9	28.4
ż	N.ATL 5N-20N 60W-30'	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
4 .	55 50W	27.6	27.7	27.8	27.9	28.3	27.9	27.8	27.6	27.9	28.0	27.7	28.2	28.1
2	Niño 4 5N-5S 160E-150W	-1.1	-1.0	-0.9	6:0-	9.0-	6.0-	-0.7	-0.7	-0.2	-0.4	6.0-	9.0-	-0.7
PACIFIC SST	Niño 3.4 5N-5S 170W-120W	25.9	25.8	25.9	26.7	27.0	26.8	26.9	26.3	26.0	25.6	25.6	25.9	25.9
		6:0-	6:0-	-1.0	9.0-	-0.7	-1.1	-1.0	6:0-	-0.7	6.0-	-1.1	6:0-	-0.8
	PACIFIC: Niño 3 5N-5S 150W-90W	24.2	24.2	24.7	25.5	26.0	26.3	26.7	26.5	25.3	24.2	24.0	24.4	24.4
		6:0-	8.0-	-0.5	-0.4	9.0-	6.0-	6.0-	-0.7	-1.1	-1.4	-1.2	-0.7	-0.5
	1+2 35 30W	19.1	19.5	20.3	20.7	21.8	23.2	24.3	26.0	24.7	23.2	21.2	20.5	20.5
	Niño 1+2 0-105 90W-80W	-1.8	-1.1	9.0-	-1.2	-1.4	-1.4	-1.4	-0.7	-1.4	-1.2	-1.5	-1.0	-0.2
-	Month	OCT 22	SEP 22	AUG 22	JUL 22	JUN 22	MAY 22	APR 22	MAR 22	FEB 22	JAN 22	DEC 21	NOV 21	OCT 21

TABLE T2. Mean and anomalous sea surface temperature (°C) for the most recent 12 months. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 adjusted OI climatology (Smith and Reynolds 1998, J. Climate, 11, 3320-3323).




FIGURE T1. Five-month running mean of the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) (top), sea-level pressure anomaly (hPa) at Darwin and Tahiti (middle), and outgoing longwave radiation anomaly (OLR) averaged over the area 5N-5S, 160E-160W (bottom). Anomalies in the top and middle panels are departures from the 1991-2020 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. Anomalies in the bottom panel are departures from the 1991-2020 base period means. Individual monthly values are indicated by "x"s in the top and bottom panels. The x-axis labels are centered on July.




FIGURE T2. Three-month running mean of a CDAS/Reanalysis-derived (a) Southern Oscillation Index (RSOI), (b) standardized pressure anomalies near Tahiti (solid) and Darwin (dashed), (c) an equatorial SOI ([EPAC] - [INDO]), and (d) standardized equatorial pressure anomalies for (EPAC) (solid) and (INDO) (dashed). Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. The equatorial SOI is calculated as the normalized difference between the standardized anomalies averaged between 5°N–5°S, 80°W–130°W (EPAC) and 5°N–5°S, 90°E–140°E (INDO).




FIGURE T3. Five-month running mean (solid lines) and individual monthly mean (dots) of the 200-hPa zonal wind anomalies averaged over the area 5N-5S, 165W-110W (top), the 500-hPa virtual temperature anomalies averaged over the latitude band 20N-20S (middle), and the equatorial zonally-averaged zonal wind anomalies at 30-hPa (red) and 50-hPa (blue) (bottom). In the top panel, anomalies are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period means. The x-axis labels are centered on January.





FIGURE T4. Five-month running mean (solid line) and individual monthly mean (dots) of the standardized 850-hPa zonal wind anomaly index in the latitude belt 5N-5S for 135E-180 (top), 175W-140W (middle) and 135W-120W (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. The x-axis labels are centered on January. Positive (negative) values indicate easterly (westerly) anomalies.






FIGURE T5. Nino region indices, calculated as the area-averaged sea surface temperature anomalies (*C*) for the specified region. The Nino 1+2 region (top) covers the extreme eastern equatorial Pacific between 0-10S, 90W-80W. The Nino-3 region (2nd from top) spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W. The Nino 3.4 region 3rd from top) spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W. The Nino 4 region (bottom) spans the date line and covers the area 5N-5S, 160E-150W. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means (Smith and Reynolds 1998, J. Climate, 11, 3320-3323). Monthly values of each index are also displayed in Table 2.




FIGURE T6. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea level pressure (SLP) averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 1.0 hPa (top) and 0.5 hPa (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.




FIGURE T7. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 850-hPa zonal wind averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 2 ms⁻¹. Blue shading and dashed contours indicate easterlies (top) and easterly anomalies (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.




FIGURE T8. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 10 Wm⁻². Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative OLR anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.




FIGURE T9. Time-longitude section of monthly mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea surface temperature (SST) averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 1C (top) and 0.5C (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period means (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, 11, 3320-3323).




FIGURE T10. Time-longitude section of anomalous sea level pressure (hPa) averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanaysis). Contour interval is 1 hPa. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.




FIGURE T11. Time-longitude section of anomalous outgoing longwave radiation averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 15 Wm⁻². Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.




FIGURE T12. Time-longitude section of anomalous 200-hPa velocity potential averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is $3 \times 10^6 \, \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.




FIGURE T13. Time-longitude section of anomalous 850-hPa zonal wind averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 2 ms⁻¹. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally by using a 3-point running average.




FIGURE T14. Equatorial time-height section of anomalous zonally-averaged zonal wind (m s⁻¹) (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 10 ms⁻¹. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.





FIGURE T15. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) depth of the 20C isotherm averaged between 5N-5S in the Pacific Ocean. Data are derived from the NCEP's global ocean data assimilation system which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Behringer, D. W., and Y. Xue, 2004: Evaluation of the global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP: The Pacific Ocean. AMS 84th Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington, 11-15). The contour interval is 10 m. Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period means.






FIGURE T16. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) depth of the 20°C isotherm for OCT 2022. Contour interval is 40 m (top) and 10 m (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Data are derived from the NCEP's global ocean data assimilation system version 2 which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Xue, Y. and Behringer, D.W., 2006: Operational global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP, to be submitted to BAMS). Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period means.





FIGURE T17. Equatorial depth-longitude section of ocean temperature (top) and ocean temperature anomalies (bottom) for OCT 2022. Contour interval is 1°C. Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Data are derived from the NCEP's global ocean data assimilation system version 2 which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Xue, Y. and Behringer, D.W., 2006: Operational global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP, to be submitted to BAMS). Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period means.







FIGURE T18. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea surface temperature (SST). Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323).






FIGURE T19. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea level pressure (SLP) (CDAS/Reanalysis). In top panel, 1000 hPa has been subtracted from contour labels, contour interval is 2 hPa, and values below 1000 hPa are indicated by dashed contours. In bottom panel, anomaly contour interval is 1 hPa and negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T20. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 850-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanaysis) for OCT 2022. Contour interval for isotachs is 4 ms⁻¹ (top) and 2 ms⁻¹ (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T21. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for OCT 2022. Contour interval for isotachs is 15 ms⁻¹ (top) and 5 ms⁻¹ (bottom). Anomalies are departures from 1991-2020 base period monthly means.

October 2022 200-hPa Streamfunction 50N 40N -60 30N 20N 10N EQ 10S 20S 60 40 **30S** 40S -60 80-80 50S 90E 180 90W 0 0

-20

20

-100 -80

-60

-40

40

60

80

100




FIGURE T22. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa streamfunction (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 20 x 10⁶ m²s⁻¹ (top) and 5 x 10⁶ m²s⁻¹ (bottom). Negative (positive) values are indicated by dashed (solid) lines. The non-divergent component of the flow is directed along the contours with speed proportional to the gradient. Thus, high (low) stream function corresponds to high (low) geopotential height in the Northern Hemisphere and to low (high) geopotential height in the Southern Hemisphere. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T23. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa divergence (CDAS/Reanalysis). Divergence and anomalous divergence are shaded blue. Convergence and anomalous convergence are shaded orange. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.

October 2022 200-hPa Velocity Potential and Divergent Wind Vector 50N 40N 30N 20N 10N EQ 10S 20S 30S 40S **50S ₹** 120E 120W 60W 60E 180

2

6

10

-10

-8

-6





FIGURE T24. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa velocity potential (106m2s) and divergent wind (CDAS/Reanalysis). Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.



EQ **10S 20S** 30S 40S 50S 90E 180 0 90W -40 -30-20 -10 10 20 30 40

FIGURE T25. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) outgoing longwave radiation for OCT 2022 (NOAA 18 AVHRR IR window channel measurements by NESDIS/ORA). OLR contour interval is 20 Wm⁻² with values greater than 280 Wm⁻² indicated by dashed contours. Anomaly contour interval is 15 Wm⁻² with positive values indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T26. Estimated total (top) and anomalous (bottom) rainfall (mm) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/ Imager (SSM/S) precipitation index (Ferraro 1997, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 16715-16735). Anomalies are computed from the SSM/I 1987-2010 base period monthly means. Anomalies have been smoothed for display purposes.




FIGURE T27. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) cloud liquid water (g m⁻²) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/ Imager (SSM/I) (Weng et al 1997: *J. Climate*, **10**, 1086-1098). Anomalies are calculated from the 1987-2010 base period means.




FIGURE T28. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) vertically integrated water vapor or precipitable water (kg m⁻²) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) (Ferraro et. al, 1996: *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 77, 891-905). Anomalies are calculated from the 1987-2010 base period means.




FIGURE T29. Pressure-longitude section (100E-80W) of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) divergence (contour interval is 1 x 10⁻⁶ s⁻¹) and divergent circulation averaged between 5N-5S. The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the zonal wind. Red shading and solid contours denote divergence (top) and anomalous divergence (bottom). Blue shading and dashed contours denote convergence (top) and anomalous convergence (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T30. Pressure-longitude section (80W-100E) of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) divergence (contour interval is 1 x 10⁻⁶ s⁻¹) and divergent circulation averaged between 5N-5S. The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the zonal wind. Red shading and solid contours denote divergence (top) and anomalous divergence (bottom). Blue shading and dashed contours denote convergence (top) and anomalous convergence (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T31. Pressure-latitude section of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) zonal wind (m s⁻¹) and divergent circulation averaged over the west Pacific sector (120E-170E). The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the meridional wind. Red shading and solid contours denote a westerly (top) or anomalous westerly (bottom) zonal wind. Blue shading and dashed contours denote an easterly (top) or anomalous easterly (bottom) zonal wind. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.

October 2022 Zonal Wind and N-S Divergent Circulation Eastern Pacific (130W-180W): Mean 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 70S 60S 50S 40S 30S 20S 10S EQ 10N 20N 30N 40N 50N 60N 70N -15 - 105 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 5 Eastern Pacific (130W-180W): Anomaly 100 200 -300 400 500 600 700 800 50N 70S 60S 50S 40S 30S 20S 10S 10N 20N 30N 40N EQ -14-12-10-8 -6 -4 -2

FIGURE T32. Pressure-latitude section of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) zonal wind (m s⁻¹) and divergent circulation averaged over the central Pacific sector (130W-180W). The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the meridional wind. Red shading and solid contours denote a westerly (top) or anomalous westerly (bottom) zonal wind. Blue shading and dashed contours denote an easterly (top) or anomalous easterly (bottom) zonal wind. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.

4

6

8

10 12

2.5

During September 2022, 172 satellite-tracked surface drifting buoys were reporting from the tropical Pacific. Westward anomalies of ~40 cm/s were measured by several near-equatorial drifters at 110-130W.






Figure A1.1 Top: Movements of drifting buoys in the tropical Pacific Ocean during September 2022. The linear segments of each trajectory represent a one week displacement. Trajectories of buoys which have lost their subsurface drogues are gray; those with drogues are black.

Middle: Monthly mean currents calculated from all buoys 1993-2002 (gray), and currents measured by the drogued buoys this month (black) smoothed by an optimal filter.

Bottom: Anomalies from the climatological monthly mean currents for this month.



FSU SURFACE PSEUDO-STRESS VECTORS AND ANOMALIES: October 2022. Pseudo-stress vectors (top) are objectively analyzed from ship and buoy winds on a 2° grid. Ship and buoy data are independently weighted and the background field is created from the data. Contour interval of the vector magnitudes is 20 M°S⁻². Anomalies (bottom) are departures from 1981-2010 mean. The contour interval is 15 M°S⁻². For more information, please visit our web site at http://www.coaps.fsu.edu/RVSMDC/html/winds.shtml. Produced by Shawn R. Smith and Mark A. Bourassa, Center for Ocean-Atmospheric Prediction Studies, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-2840, USA.







FIGURE A1.3. Ocean Surface Current Analysis-Real-time (OSCAR) for OCT 2022 (Bonjean and Lagerloef 2002, J. Phys. Oceanogr., Vol. 32, No. 10, 2938-2954; Lagerloef et al. 1999, JGR-Oceans, 104, 23313-23326). (top) Total velocity. Surface currents are calculated from satellite data including Jason sea level anomalies and NCEP winds. (bottom) Velocity anomalies. The subtracted climatology was based on SSM/I and QuickScat winds and Topex/Poseidon and Jason from 1993-2003. See also http://www.oscar.noaa.gov.



2954; Lagerloef et al. 1999, JGR-Oceans, 104, 23313-23326). (top) Total velocity. Surface currents are calculated from satellite data including Jason sea level anomalies and NCEP winds. (bottom) Velocity anomalies. The subtracted climatology was based on SSM/I and QuickScat winds and Topex/Poseidon and FIGURE A1.4. Ocean Surface Current Analysis-Real-time (OSCAR) for OCT 2022 (Bonjean and Lagerloef 2002, J. Phys. Oceanogr., Vol. 32, No. 10, 2938-Jason from 1993-2003. See also http://www.oscar.noaa.gov.

Forecast Forum

The canonical correlation analysis (CCA) forecast of SST in the central Pacific (Barnett et al. 1988, *Science*, **241**, 192196; Barnston and Ropelewski 1992, *J. Climate*, **5**, 13161345), is shown in **Figs. F1 and F2**. This forecast is produced routinely by the Prediction Branch of the Climate Prediction Center. The predictions from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03) are presented in **Figs. F3 and F4a**, **F4b**. Predictions from the Markov model (Xue, et al. 2000: *J. Climate*, **13**, 849871) are shown in **Figs. F5 and F6**. Predictions from the latest version of the LDEO model (Chen et al. 2000: *Geophys. Res. Let.*, **27**, 25852587) are shown in **Figs. F7 and F8**. Predictions from the ENSO CLIPER statistical model (Knaff and Landsea 1997, Wea. Forecasting, 12, 633 652) are shown in **Fig. F9**. Niño 3.4 predictions are summarized in **Fig. F10**, provided by the Forecasting and Prediction Research Group of the IRI.

The CPC and the contributors to the **Forecast Forum** caution potential users of this predictive information that they can expect only modest skill.

ENSO Alert System Status: La Niña Advisory

Outlook

There is a 76% chance of La Niña during the Northern Hemisphere winter (December-February) 2022-23, with a transition to ENSO-neutral favored in February-April 2023 (57% chance).

Discussion

Below-average sea surface temperatures (SSTs) strengthened in the east-central Pacific Ocean during the past month (Fig. T18). All of the monthly Niño index values were near -1.0°C, with the exception of Niño-1+2 which was at -1.8°C (Table T2). Since late July 2022, negative subsurface temperature anomalies have been quite persistent, reflecting the stationary pattern of below-average temperatures across the eastern Pacific Ocean (Fig. T17). For the monthly average, low-level easterly wind anomalies and upper-level westerly wind anomalies were evident across most of the equatorial Pacific (Fig. T20 & T21). However, in the last week, the low-level trade winds weakened in association with sub-seasonal tropical variability. Convection remained suppressed over the western and central tropical Pacific and enhanced over Indonesia (Fig. T25). Overall, the coupled ocean-atmosphere system continued to reflect La Niña.

The most recent IRI plume forecast of the Niño-3.4 SST index indicates La Niña will persist into the Northern Hemisphere winter 2022-23, and then transition to ENSO-neutral in February-

April 2023 (Figs. F1-F12). The forecaster consensus, which also considers the North American Multi-Model Ensemble (NMME), is in agreement with the timing of this transition. The recent weakening of the trade winds suggest below-average SSTs may be near their minimum, though considerable uncertainty remains over how gradually the anomalies will decay. In summary, there is a 76% chance of La Niña during the Northern Hemisphere winter (December-February) 2022-23, with a transition to ENSO-neutral favored in February-April 2023 (57% chance).

Weekly updates of oceanic and atmospheric conditions are available on the Climate Prediction Center homepage (El Niño/La Niña Current Conditions and Expert Discussions).




FIGURE F1. Canonical correlation analysis (CCA) sea surface temperature (SST) anomaly prediction for the central Pacific (5N to 5S, 120W to 170W (Barnston and Ropelewski, 1992,i J. Climate, 5, 1316-1345)). The three plots on the left are, from top to bottom, the 1-month, 2-month, and 3-month lead seasonal forecasts from the past 12 months plus the current month. The triangles in each plot are the observed SST anomaly through the latest available season. The lines at the mid-points of the forecast error bars represent the real-time CCA predictions based on the anomalies of quasi-global sea level pressure, the anomalies of tropical Pacific SST, and heat content of the upper 300 meters of the near-equator tropical Pacific (10S to 10N). The vertical lines represent the two standard deviation error bars for the predictions based on past performance. The three plots on the right are skill values for the corresponding seasons, from the correlations of the predicted and observed SST in the prior 10 years of simulated real-time forecasts. Skill values show a clear annual cycle and are inversely proportional to the length of the error bars depicted in the forecast time series.




FIGURE F2. Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) forecast of sea-surface temperature anomalies for the Nino 3.4 region (5N-5S, 120W-170W) for the upcoming year of three-month overlapping periods. The CCA predictions are based on anomaly patterns of sea level pressure, tropical Pacific SST, and heat content of the upper 300 meters of the near-equator tropical Pacific (10S to 10N). Small squares at the midpoints of the vertical forecast bars represent the CCA predictions, and the bars show the one (thick) and two (thin) standard deviation errors. The triangles and line represent the observed three-month mean SST anomaly in the Nino 3.4 region up to the most recently available data.

Initial conditions: 230ct2022-01Ney2022 Total Anomalies 203 ROM Nov22-Jen23 10% 10N DQ 20X SON-Feb23-Apr23 10X 100 DQ 109 109 208 LBO LOCITY BOW 180 10DY 180Y 1407 120Y 160E 1007 201 SON-May29-Jul23 101 100 DQ.

109

-1.5

-0.6

120Y

LOOW

109

Last update: Thu Nov 3 2022

1007

FIGURE F3. Predicted 3-month average sea surface temperature (left) and anomalies (right) from the NCEP Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03). The forecasts consist of 40 forecast members. Contour interval is 1°C, with additional contours for 0.5°C and -0.5°C. Negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours.

Last update: Thu Nov 3 2022 Initial conditions: 230ct2022-01Nov2022




FIGURE F4. Predicted and observed sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies for the Nino 3 (top) and Nino 3.4 (bottom) regions from the NCEP Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03). The forecasts consist of 40 forecast members. The ensemble mean of all 40 forecast members is shown by the blue line, individual members are shown by thin lines, and the observation is indicated by the black line. The Nino-3 region spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W. The Nno 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W.




FIGURE F5. Predicted 3-month average sea surface temperature anomalies from the NCEP/CPC Markov model (Xue et al. 2000, *J. Climate*, **13**, 849-871). The forecast is initiated in OCT 2022. Contour interval is 0.3C and negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours. Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1971-2000 climatology.




FIGURE F6. Time evolution of observed and predicted SST anomalies in the Nino 3.4 region (up to 12 lead months) by the NCEP/CPC Markov model (Xue et al. 2000, J. Climate, 13, 849-871). Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1971-2000 climatology. Shown in each panel are the forecasts grouped by three consecutive starting months: (a) is for December, January, and February, (b) is for March, April, and May, (c) is for June, July, and August, and (d) is for September, October, and November. The observed Nino 3.4 SST anomalies are indicated by the black dashed lines. The Nino 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W.

LDEO FORECASTS OF SST AND WIND STRESS ANOMALIES




FIGURE F7. Forecasts of the tropical Pacific Predicted SST (shading) and vector wind anomalies for the next 3 seasons based on the LDEO model. Each forecast represents an ensemble average of 3 sets of predictions initialized during the last three consecutive months (see Figure F8).

LDEO FORECASTS OF NINO3 3 SCAT 2 1 0 3 NCEP -2 3 FSU 2 1 0 OCT 2021 OCT 2022 OCT 2020 OCT 2023

FIGURE F8. LDEO forecasts of SST anomalies for the Nino 3 region using wind stresses obtained from (top) QuikSCAT, (middle) NCEP, and (bottom) Florida State Univ. (FSU), along with SSTs (obtained from NCEP), and sea surface height data (obtained from TOPEX/POSEIDON) data. Each thin blue line represents a 12-month forecast, initialized one month apart for the past 24 months. Observed SST anomalies are indicated by the thick red line. The Nino-3 region spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W.

TIME




FIGURE F9. ENSO-CLIPER statistical model forecasts of three-month average sea surface temperature anomalies (green lines, deg. C) in (top panel) the Nino 4 region (5N-5S, 160E-150W), (second panel) the Nino 3.4 region (5N-5S, 170W-120W), (third panel) the Nino 3 region (5N-5S, 150W-90W), and (fourth panel) the Nino 1+2 region (0-10S, 90W-80W) (Knaff and Landsea 1997, *Wea. Forecasting*, 12, 633-652). Bottom panel shows predictions of the three-month standardized Southern Oscillation Index (SOI, green line). Horizontal bars on green line indicate the adjusted root mean square error (RMSE). The Observed three-month average values are indicated by the thick blue line. SST anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period means, and the SOI is calculated from the 1951-1980 base period means.




FIGURE F10. Time series of predicted sea surface temperature anomalies for the Nino 3.4 region (deg. C) from various dynamical and statistical models for nine overlapping 3-month periods. The Nino 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W. Figure provided by the International Research Institute (IRI).

Extratropical Highlights – October 2022

1. Northern Hemisphere

During the month of October, major teleconnection indices were primarily near-normal while SST anomalies across the tropical Pacific remained below normal and signaling La Nina conditions (Figs. E7, T18). The 500-hPa circulation during October featured primarily above-average heights for much of the Northern Hemisphere with the strongest departures from normal occurring in the North Pacific Ocean and a wave-train feature across the North Atlantic Ocean (Fig. E9). The main land-surface temperature signals include above-average temperatures for western North America, eastern Europe, and Russia (Fig. E1). The main precipitation signals include below-average totals in eastern and western regions of North America and around the Mediterranean Sea, and above-average totals in Russia (Fig. E3).

a. North America

The 500-hPa circulation during October featured above-average heights along the western and eastern coasts of North America and a slight tilt toward below-average heights over the Ohio Valley (Fig. E9). This pattern contributed to above-average temperatures for western Canada and Alaska, as well as across central Canada and toward the east (Fig. E1). With the exception of the West Coast, much of the U.S. recorded near-normal temperature conditions for the month of October (Fig. E1). The amplified ridge pattern led to widespread below-average rainfall for the Great Plains and most of the West Coast states, as well across the Southeast and Ohio Valley (Fig. E6). Precipitation totals were above normal for the Southwest and Northern Rocky states like Montana, where some of these areas recorded rainfall in the 60th percentile and greater (Figs. E3, E6).

b. Europe and Russia

A wave-train feature was predominant across the North Atlantic Ocean ending with an amplified ridge over Europe (Fig. E9). Across Russia the 500-hPa circulation was predominantly near-normal except for Northern Russia where moderate above-average heights were recorded over western Siberia and moderate below-average heights were recorded over eastern Siberia. The main temperature signals include large departures from normal for most of Europe and Russia where some areas recorded temperatures in the 90th percentile of occurrences (Fig. E1). The main precipitation signals were areas of above-average rainfall near the Kara Sea and for the area between the Kamchatka Peninsula and Chukchi Mountains, and below-average rainfall across the Mediterranean countries (Fig. E3).

b. West African monsoon

The west African monsoon season extends from June through October, with a peak during July-September. During 2022, the west African monsoon system was enhanced as early as March and continued thru October (see Sahel region, Fig. E4), with area-average rainfall totals reaching the 100th percentile of occurrences in the Spring, and again in September, before reducing to the 60th percentile for October.

2. Southern Hemisphere

The 500-hPa height field during October featured an anomalous wave pattern across the South Pacific Ocean with a maxima of above-average heights between Australia and Antarctica, and a minima over the Bellingshausen Sea (Fig. E15). The South African monsoon season runs from October to April. During October 2022, this area recorded below-average precipitation, with many locations recording totals in the lowest 10th percentile of occurrences (Fig. E4). The main precipitation signals include above-average rainfall in eastern Australia where rainfall was recorded in the 90th percentile of occurrences, and below-average rainfall for southern Africa which reached the lowest 10th percentile of occurrences (Fig. E3).

The Antarctic ozone hole typically develops during August and reaches peak size in September. The ozone hole then gradually decreases during October and November, and dissipates on average in early December (Fig. S8). By the end of October 2022, the size of the ozone hole was just over 20 million square kilometers, which is well above the 2012-2021 average size of 12 million square kilometers.

Polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) were near zero and near-normal (Fig. S8) while the vortex area remained above average (Fig. S8). This highly anomalous ozone hole and vortex is not associated with a sudden stratospheric warming (Fig. S4, see September thru October). The 50-hPa height anomalies show well-below average heights over Antarctica (Fig. S1).

TELECONNECTION INDICES

EURASIA	POLEUR	1.1	-0.6	-0.3	0.0	-1.3	-0.3	-1.2	-0.5	-1.6	-0.3	-0.5	0.5	-0.5
	SCAND	-0.2	0.5	1.0	-0.5	0.0	-1.5	-0.7	1.0	-2.1	6.0-	0.3	8.0-	-0.2
	EATL/ WRUS	-0.7	-1.1	-3.4	-1.2	-0.5	6.0	-0.1	1.4	6:0-	1.1	-0.0	0.0	-0.6
North Pacific	HNT		-						-	1.8	0.7	-0.3		-
	PNA	0.3	0.1	8.0	2.0	-0.2	-0.6	-1.0	-0.2	9.0	9:0	-2.9	0.7	1.4
2	EP-NP	-0.1	8.0-	-1.1	-1.6	-0.0	-0.3	-0.7	0.3	6.0-	0.5	-	0.3	-2.4
North Atlantic	WP	1.0	1.8	-0.4	-0.5	-1.7	-1.4	0.3	9.0	-0.4	-1.4	0.5	-0.1	1.7
	EA	0.2	-1.2	1.4	1.4	0.5	0.2	6.0-	1.5	0.2	-1.4	-0.1	6.0-	6:0
	NAO	-0.3	-1.4	1.8	-0.1	0.2	0.7	-0.5	0.4	1.5	0.7	0.2	-0.3	-2.0
	Month	OCT 22	SEP 22	AUG 22	JUL 22	JUN 22	MAY 22	APR 22	MAR 22	FEB 22	JAN 22	DEC 21	NOV 21	OCT 21

TABLE E1-Standardized amplitudes of selected Northern Hemisphere teleconnection patterns for the most recent thirteen months (computational procedures are described in Fig. E7). Pattern names and abbreviations are North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO); East Atlantic pattern (EA); West Pacific pattern (WP); East Pacific - North Pacific pattern (EP-NP); Pacific/North American pattern (PNA); Tropical/Northern Hemisphere pattern (TNH); East Atlantic/Western Russia pattern (EATL/WRUS-called Eurasia-2 pattern by Barnston and Livezey, 1987, Mon. Wea. Rev., 115, 1083-1126); Scandanavia pattern (SCAND-called Eurasia-1 pattern by Barnston and Livezey 1987); and Polar Eurasia pattern (POLEUR). No value is plotted for calendar months in which the pattern does not appear as a leading mode.




FIGURE E1. Surface temperature anomalies (°C, top) and surface temperature expressed as percentiles of the normal (Gaussian) distribution fit to the 1991-2020 base period data (bottom) for OCT 2022. Analysis is based on station data over land and on SST data over the oceans (top). Anomalies for station data are departures from the 1991-2020 base period means, while SST anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 adjusted OI climatology. (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, 11, 3320-3323). Regions with insufficient data for analysis in both figures are indicated by shading in the top figure only.





FIGURE E2. Monthly global (top), Northern Hemisphere (middle), and Southern Hemisphere (bottom) surface temperature anomalies (land only, °C) from January 1990 - present, computed as departures from the 1991-2020 base period means.






FIGURE E3. Anomalous precipitation (mm, top) and precipitation percentiles based on a Gamma distribution fit to the 1981-2010 base period data (bottom) for OCT 2022. Data are obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, 12, 3335–3342). Contours are drawn at 200, 100, 50, 25, -25, -50, -100, and -200 mm in top panel. Percentiles are not plotted in regions where mean monthly precipitation is <5mm/month.




FIGURE E4. Areal estimates of monthly mean precipitation amounts (mm, solid lines) and precipitation percentiles (%, bars) for the most recent 13 months obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, 12, 3335–3342). The monthly precipitation climatology (mm, dashed lines) is from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. Monthly percentiles are not shown if the monthly mean is less than 5 mm.




FIGURE E5. Areal estimates of monthly mean precipitation amounts (mm, solid lines) and precipitation percentiles (%, bars) for the most recent 13 months obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, 12, 3335–3342). The monthly precipitation climatology (mm, dashed lines) is from the 1981-2010 base period monthly means. Monthly percentiles are not shown if the monthly mean is less than 5 mm.




FIGURE E6. Observed precipitation (upper left), departure from average (upper right), percent of average (lower left), and average precipitation (lower right) for OCT 2022. The units are given on each panel. Base period for averages is 1991-2020. Results are based on CPC's U. S. daily precipitation analysis, which http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/prodcuts/precip/realtime. is available at




FIGURE E7. Standardized monthly Northern Hemisphere teleconnection indices. The teleconnection patterns are calculated from a Rotated Principal Component Analysis (RPCA) applied to monthly standardized 500-hPa height anomalies during the 1991-2020 base period. To obtain these patterns, ten leading un-rotated modes are first calculated for each calendar month by using the monthly height anomaly fields for the three-month period centered on that month: [i.e., The July modes are calculated from the June, July, and August standardized monthly anomalies]. A Varimax spatial rotation of the ten leading un-rotated modes for each calendar month results in 120 rotated modes (12 months x 10 modes per month) that yield ten primary teleconnection patterns. The teleconnection indices are calculated by first projecting the standardized monthly anomalies onto the teleconnection patterns corresponding to that month (eight or nine teleconnection patterns are seen in each calendar month). The indices are then solved for simultaneously using a Least-Squares approach. In this approach, the indices are the solution to the Least-Squares system of equations which explains the maximum spatial structure of the observed height anomaly field during the month. The indices are then standardized for each pattern and calendar month independently. No index value exists when the teleconnection pattern does not appear as one of the ten leading rotated EOF's valid for that month.




FIGURE E8. Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous sea level pressure (CDAS/Reanalysis) for OCT 2022. Mean values are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 4 hPa. Anomaly contour interval is 2 hPa with values less (greater) than -2 hPa (2 hPa) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.




FIGURE E9. Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for OCT 2022. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 6 dam. Anomaly contour interval is 3 dam with values less (greater) than -3 dam (3 dam) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.




FIGURE E10. Northern Hemisphere mean (left) and anomalous (right) 300-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for OCT 2022. Mean (anomaly) isotach contour interval is 10 (5) ms⁻¹. Values greater than 30 ms⁻¹ (left) and 10 ms⁻¹ (rights) are shaded. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.

October 2022 500—hPa: Percentage of Anomaly Days




FIGURE E11. Northern Hemisphere percentage of days during OCT 2022 in which 500-hPa height anomalies greater than 15 m (red) and less than -15 m (blue) were observed. Values greater than 70% are shaded and contour in-

October 2022 500—hPa Height Anomalies: 40°N





FIGURE E12. Northern Hemisphere: Daily 500-hPa height anomalies for OCT 2022 averaged over the 5° latitude band centered on 40°N. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed coutours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period daily means.






FIGURE E13. Northern Hemisphere 500-hPa heights (thick contours, interval is 6 dam) overlaid with (Top) Standard deviation of 10-day high-pass (HP) filtered height anomalies and (Bottom) Normalized anomalous variance of 10-day HP filtered height anomalies. A Lanczos filter is used to calculate the HP filtered anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 daily means.




FIGURE E14. Southern Hemisphere mean and anomalous sea level pressure(CDAS/Reanalysis) for OCT 2022. Mean values are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 4 hPa. Anomaly contour interval is 2 hPa with values less (greater) than -2 hPa (2 hPa) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.





FIGURE E15. Southern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for OCT 2022. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 6 dam. Anomaly contour interval is 3 dam with values less (greater) than -3 dam (3 dam) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.







FIGURE E16. Southern Hemisphere mean (left) and anomalous (right) 300-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for OCT 2022. Mean (anomaly) isotach contour interval is 10 (5) ms⁻¹. Values greater than 30 ms⁻¹ (left) and 10 ms⁻¹ (rights) are shaded. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means.

October 2022 500—hPa: Percentage of Anomaly Days



00 00 70 0000 70 00 00

FIGURE E17. Southern Hemisphere percentage of days during OCT 2022 in which 500-hPa height anomalies greater than 15 m (red) and less than -15 m (blue) were observed. Values greater than 70% are shaded and contour in-

October 2022 500—hPa Height Anomalies: 40°S




FIGURE E18. Southern Hemisphere: Daily 500-hPa height anomalies for OCT 2022 averaged over the 5° latitude band centered on 40°S. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed coutours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are departures from the 1991-2020 base period daily means.

October 2022 Height Anomalies




FIGURE S1. Stratospheric height anomalies (m) at selected levels for OCT 2022. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are calculated from the 1991-2020 base period means. Winter Hemisphere is shown.




FIGURE S2. Height-longitude sections during OCT 2022 for height anomalies (contour) and temperature anomalies (shaded). In both panels, positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading, while negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval for height anomalies is 60 m and for temperature anomalies is 2°C. Anomalies are calculated from the 1991-2020 base period monthly means. Winter Hemisphere is shown.




FIGURE S3. Seasonal mean temperature anomalies at 50-hPa for the latitude bands 65°–90°N, 25°–65°N, 25°N–25°S, 25°–65°S, 65°–90°S. The seasonal mean is comprised of the most recent three months. Zonal anomalies are taken from the mean of the entire data set.




FIGURE S4. Daily mean temperatures at 10-hPa and 2-hPa (thick line) in the region 65°–90°N and 65°–90°S for the past two years. Dashed line depicts the 1991-2020 base period daily mean. Thin solid lines depict the daily extreme maximum and minimum temperatures.





FIGURE S5. Monthly ozone anomalies (percent) from the long term monthly means for five zones: 50N-30N (NH mid-latitudes), 25N-10N (NH tropical surf zone), 10N-10S (Equatorial-QBO zone), 10S-25S (SH tropical surf zone), and 30S-50S (SH mid-latitudes). The long term monthly means are determined from the entire data set

OCTOBER PERCENT DIFF (2022 - AVG[79-86])






FIGURE S6. Northern (top) and Southern (bottom) Hemisphere total ozone anomaly (percent difference from monthly mean for the period 1979-1986). The region near the winter pole has no SBUV/2 data.




FIGURE S7. Daily vertical component of EP flux (which is proportional to the poleward transport of heat or upward transport of potential energy by planetary wave) at 100 hPa averaged over (top) 30°N–90°N and (bottom) 30°S–90°S for OCT 2022. The EP flux unit (kg m⁻¹ s⁻²) has been scaled by multiplying a factor of the Brunt Vaisala frequency divided by the Coriolis parameter and the radius of the earth. The letter 'M' indicates the current monthly mean value and the letter 'C' indicates the climatological mean value. Additionally, the normalized departures from the monthly climatological EP flux values are shown.




FIGURE S8. Daily time series showing the size of the SH polar vortex (representing the area enclosed by the 32 PVU contour on the 450K isentropic surface), and the areal coverage of temperatures < -78C on the 450K isentropic surface.




FIGURE A2.1. (a) Daily amplitudes of the Arctic Oscillation (AO) the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), and the Pacific-North American (PNA) pattern. The pattern amplitudes for the AO, (NAO, PNA) are calculated by projecting the daily 1000-hPa (500-hPa) height anomaly field onto the leading EOF obtained from standardized time-series of daily 1000-hPa (500-hPa) height for all months of the year. The base period is 1991-2020.

(b-d) Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for selected periods during OCT 2022 are shown in the remaining 3 panels. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 8 dam. Dark (light) shading corresponds to anomalies greater than 50 m (less than -50 m). Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1991-2020 base period daily means.




FIGURE A2.2. SSM/I derived snow cover frequency (%) (left) and snow cover anomaly (%) (right) for the month of OCT 2022 based on 1987 - 2010 base period for the Northern Hemisphere (top) and Southern Hemisphere (bottom). It is generated using the algorithm described by Ferraro et. al, 1996, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., vol 77, 891-905.