Attribution of Seasonal Climate Anomalies
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• Goal

  – In the context of prediction of seasonal climate variability, utilize seasonal climate forecasts and atmospheric general circulation model (AGCM) simulations to attribute possible causes for the observed seasonal climate anomalies.
  – The analysis can also be considered as an analysis of predictability of the observed seasonal climate anomalies.
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• Compare observed seasonal mean anomalies with those from model simulations and forecasts.
• Ensemble averaged model simulated/predicted seasonal mean anomalies are an indication of the predictable (or attributable) component of the corresponding observed anomalies.
• For seasonal mean atmospheric anomalies, predictability could be due to
  – Anomalous boundary forcings [e.g., sea surface temperature (SSTs); soil moisture etc.];
  – Atmospheric initial conditions.
• The influence of anomalous boundary forcings (particularly due to SSTs, can be inferred from the ensemble mean of AGCM simulations forced by observed SSTs, the so called AMIP simulations). This component of predictability (or attributability) is more relevant for longer lead seasonal forecasts.
• The influence of the atmospheric initial state can be inferred from initialized predictions. This component is more relevant for short lead seasonal forecasts.
• The influence of unpredictable component in the atmospheric variability can be assessed from the analysis of individual model simulations, and the extent anomalies in individual runs deviate from the ensemble mean anomalies.
• The relative amplitude of ensemble averaged seasonal mean anomalies to the deviations of seasonal mean anomalies in the individual model runs from the ensemble average is a measure of seasonal predictability (or the extent observed anomalies are attributable).
• Observed anomalies are equivalent to a realization of a single model run, and therefore, analysis of individual model runs also gives an appreciation of how much observed anomalies can deviate from the component that is attributable (Kumar et al. 2013).
Data

- **Observations**
  - SST: NCDC daily OI analysis (Reynolds et al., 2007)
  - Prec: CMAP monthly analysis (Xie and Arkin, 1997)
  - T2m: GHCN-CAMS land surface temperature monthly analysis (Fan and van den Dool, 2008)
  - 200mb height (z200): CFSR (Saha et al., 2010)

- **0-month-lead seasonal mean forecasts from CFSv2 (Saha et al. 2014)**
  - **0-month-lead**: the seasonal mean forecasts based on 40 members from the latest 10 days before the target season;
  - **0-month-lead-monthly**: the seasonal mean forecasts constructed from 3 individual monthly forecasts with the latest 10 days initial conditions for each individual monthly forecasts. This approach for constructing seasonal mean anomalies has more influence from the initial conditions (Kumar et al. 2013)

- **Seasonal mean AMIP simulation from CFSv2 (provided by Dr. Bhaskar Jha)**
  - 18 members

- **All above seasonal mean anomalies are based on 1999-2010 climatology.**

- z200 responses to tropical heating in linear model (provided by Dr. Peitao Peng)

- Seasonal mean anomalies of z200, T2m, and Prec forecasted from the Constructed Analog Model (provided by Dr. Peitao Peng)
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Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Mean Anomalies
CFS AMIP simulations forced with observed sea surface temperatures (18 members ensemble)

CFSv2 real time operational forecasts

- **0-month-lead**: the seasonal mean forecasts based on 40 members from the latest 10 days before the target season. For example, 2016AMJ seasonal mean forecasts are 40 members from 22-31 March 2016 initial conditions.

- **0-month-lead-monthly**: the seasonal mean forecasts constructed from 3 individual monthly forecasts with the latest 10 days initial conditions for each individual monthly forecasts. This approach for constructing seasonal mean anomalies has more influence from the initial conditions (Kumar et al. 2013). For example, the constructed 2016AMJ seasonal mean forecasts are the average of April 2016 forecasts from 22-31 March 2016 initial conditions, May 2016 forecasts from 21-30 April 2016 initial conditions, and June 2016 forecasts from 22-31 May 2016 initial conditions.

Numbers at the panels indicate the spatial anomaly correlation (AC).
Model Simulated/Forecast Anomalies: Individual Runs
In this analysis, anomalies from individual model runs are compared against the observed seasonal mean anomalies. The spatial resemblance between them is quantified based on anomaly correlation (AC).

The distribution of AC across all model simulations is indicative of probability of observed anomalies to have a predictable (or attributable) component.

One can also look at best and worst match between model simulated/forecast anomalies to assess the range of possible seasonal mean outcomes.
JJA2017 Anomaly Correlation for Individual AMIP Simulation with Observation -- z200(20N–90N)
Observed & AMIP Ensemble Average Anomalies
JJA2017 z200(m) 18 runs/worst 2 runs/best 2 runs

Obs

18 runs
AC=0.356(20N–90N)

worst 2 runs
AC=0.035(20N–90N)

best 2 runs
AC=0.482(20N–90N)
JJA2017 Anomaly Correlation for Individual CFSv2 Forecast with Observation — z200 (20N–90N)
Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies

JJA2017 z200(m) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs

0–month–lead

AC=0.366(20N–90N)

AC=0.114(20N–90N)

AC=0.453(20N–90N)
Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies
JJA2017 z200(m) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs
0–month–lead monthly

AC=0.508(20N–90N)

AC=0.206(20N–90N)

AC=0.679(20N–90N)
JJA2017 Anomaly Correlation for Individual CFSv2 Forecast with Observation — Prec (NA)
Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies
JJA2017 Prec (mm/day) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs
0-month-lead monthly

Obs

40 runs
AC = 0.333

worst 4 runs
AC = -0.12

best 4 runs
AC = 0.377
JJA2017 Anomaly Correlation for Individual CFSv2 Forecast
with Observation —— T2m (NA)
Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies
JJA2017 T2m(K) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs
0-month-lead monthly

AC=0.492

AC=0.018

AC=0.555
200mb Height from Linear Model
JJA2017 200mb Eddy HGT(m)

OBS vs. Linear Model Response to Tropical Heating

Heating is converted from Prate in 15S–15N

**OPI Prate Anom (mm/day)**

**OBS (from R1)**

**Linear Model Response to Tropical Heating**

Pattern COR: global=0.18, tropics(30S–30N)=0.22
Seasonal Forecasts from the Constructed Analog Model
CA Prec Prd for JJA2017, ICs through Aug2017 (mm/day), Lead -3
Seasonal Forecasts from WMO Lead Center for Long-Range Forecast Multi-Model Ensemble (LC-LRFMME)

https://www.wmolc.org/

- LC-LRFMME seasonal forecast are based on forecasts provided by WMO recognized Global Producing Centers (GPCs) for Long-Range Forecasts to the LC-LRFMME. Contribution of all GPCs is acknowledged.
- Seasonal forecasts from GPCs are merged into a multi-model ensemble forecast.
- LC-LRFMME forecasts are based on GPC seasonal forecast systems run during the first week of the month for the next season. For example, forecasts runs in first week of January for the seasonal mean of February-March-April.
- Forecasts in slides 41-44 are from the Lead Center.

For more information see visit Lead Center website; also see Graham, R., and Co-authors, 2011: New perspectives for GPCs, their role in the GFCS and a proposed contribution to a ‘World Climate Watch’. Climate Research, 47, 47-55.
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