

Attribution of Seasonal Climate Anomalies

May-June-July 2021

(<https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/people/mchen/AttributionAnalysis/>)


Summary of Observed Conditions and Outlooks

- SST anomalies were near-normal over tropical Pacific and Indian Ocean; NE Pacific Ocean remained on the warm side (slide 4).
- In general, the large-scale distribution of SST anomalies were predicted well (slide 10), while precipitation anomalies over the region extending from the tropical W. Pacific to Indian Ocean were not well predicted (slides 10, 11, 35, 36, 38 & 39).
- The average of MJJ2021 height anomalies had a weak projection on positive phase of AO pattern. The AMIP simulation and initialized CFSv2 forecasts didn't predict the variations of z200 anomalies over the extra-tropics. This led to errors in forecasting cold anomalies over the areas of east Asia, central Canada, and southeast US (slides 12, 13, 15, 16).
- The observed precipitation negative (positive) anomalies over the NW (SE) part of the North America were well replicated in the AMIP simulations, not in the initialized forecasts (slide 14). The reconstructed forecasts show improved skills for the NA temperature and precipitation anomalies (Slide 16).
- July 2021 monthly forecast skills for both NA P & T increased for shorter lead-time forecast. The forecasts from the initial conditions near the end of June captured most of the observed P & T anomalies (slides 30-33).




Observed Seasonal Anomalies

Global and North America




Observed Anomaly MJJ2021



Observed Anomaly MJJ2021






Observed Anomaly MJJ2021





CPC Seasonal Outlooks and NMME Forecasts


Temp nonEC
HSS=13



Prec nonEC
HSS=30



NMME





For the rationale behind CPC outlooks see: https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/archives/long_lead/PMD/2021/202104_PMD90D

Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Mean Anomalies





Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies

- CFS **AMIP simulations** forced with observed sea surface temperatures (18 members ensemble)
- CFSv2 real time operational forecasts
 - Seasonal forecast: the seasonal mean forecasts based on 40 members from the latest 10 days before the target season (0-month-lead). For example, 2016AMJ seasonal mean forecasts are 40 members from 22-31 March2016 initial conditions.
 - Reconstructed forecast: the seasonal mean forecasts constructed from 3 individual monthly forecasts with the latest 10 days initial conditions for each individual monthly forecasts. This approach fr constructing seasonal mean anomalies has more influence from the initial conditions (Kumar et al. 2013). For example, the constructed 2016AMJ seasonal mean forecasts are the average of April2016 forecasts from 22-31 March2016 initial conditions, May2016 forecasts from 21-30 April2016 initial conditions, and June2016 forecasts from 22-31 May2016 initial conditions.
- Numbers at the panels indicate the spatial anomaly correlation (AC).


MJJ2021 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies SST(K)




MJJ2021 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies Prec(mm/day)







MJJ2021 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies z200(m)




MJJ2021 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies T2m(K)







MJJ2021 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies Prec(mm/day)



MJJ2021 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies z200(m)



MJJ2021 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies T2m(K)




Model Simulated/Forecast Anomalies: Individual Runs


Model Simulated/Forecast Anomalies: Individual Runs

- In this analysis, anomalies from individual model runs are compared against the observed seasonal mean anomalies. The spatial resemblance between them is quantified based on anomaly correlation (AC).
- The distribution of AC across all model simulations is indicative of probability of observed anomalies to have a predictable (or attributable) component.
- One can also look at best and worst match between model simulated/forecast anomalies to assess the range of possible seasonal mean outcomes.
- For further details see: Kumar, A., M. Chen, M. Hoerling, and J. Eischeid (2013), Do extreme climate events require extreme forcings? Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 3440-3445. [doi:10.1002/grl.50657](https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50657).


MJJ2021 Anomaly Correlation for Individual AMIP Simulation with Observation -- z200(20N–90N)







Observed & AMIP Ensemble Average Anomalies
MJJ2021 z200(m) 18 runs/worst 2 runs/best 2 runs







MJJ2021 Anomaly Correlation for Individual CFSv2 Forecast with Observation -- z200 (20N–90N)




Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies
MJJ2021 z200(m) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs
Seasonal Forecast







Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies
MJJ2021 z200(m) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs
Reconstructed Forecast







MJJ2021 Anomaly Correlation for Individual CFSv2 Forecast with Observation -- Prec(NA)/SST(30S–30N)




Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies
MJJ2021 Prec(mm/day) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs
Seasonal Forecast







Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies
MJJ2021 Prec(mm/day) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs
Reconstructed Forecast







MJJ2021 Anomaly Correlation for Individual CFSv2 Forecast with Observation -- T2m(NA)/SST(30S–30N)



Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies
MJJ2021 T2m(K) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs
Seasonal Forecast




Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies
MJJ2021 T2m(K) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs
Reconstructed Forecast



Monthly Means Prec(mm/day) Observed & Forecasts

Monthly MJJ2021 Prec(mm/day) Observed & Forecasts (at shortest leads)




Top row: Observed anomaly

Middle row: CFSv2 seasonal forecasts from the initial conditions from the month prior to the target season.

Bottom row: CFSv2 monthly forecasts from the last three days of the month prior to the target month.

Monthly Means Prec(mm/day) Observed & Forecasts

Monthly MJJ2021 Prec(mm/day) Observed & Forecasts (at long leads)




Top row: Observed anomaly

Middle row: CFSv2 monthly forecasts from the initial conditions near 10th day of the month prior to the target month.

Bottom row: CFSv2 monthly forecasts from the initial conditions near 20th day of the month prior to the target month.

Monthly Means T2m(K) Observed & Forecasts

Monthly MJJ2021 T2m(K) Observed & Forecasts (at shortest leads)




Top row: Observed anomaly

Middle row: CFSv2 seasonal forecasts from the initial conditions from the month prior to the target season.

Bottom row: CFSv2 monthly forecasts from the last three days of the month prior to the target month.

Monthly Means T2m(K) Observed & Forecasts

Monthly MJJ2021 T2m(K) Observed & Forecasts (at long leads)



Top row: Observed anomaly

Middle row: CFSv2 monthly forecasts from the initial conditions near 10th day of the month prior to the target month.

Bottom row: CFSv2 monthly forecasts from the initial conditions near 20th day of the month prior to the target month.

Seasonal Forecasts from WMO Lead Center for Long-Range Forecast Multi-Model Ensemble (LC-LRFMME)

<https://www.wmолн.org/>

- LC-LRFMME seasonal forecast are based on forecasts provided by WMO recognized Global Producing Centers (GPCs) for Long-Range Forecasts to the LC-LRFMME. Contribution of all GPCs is acknowledged.
- Seasonal forecasts from GPCs are merged into a multi-model ensemble forecast.
- LC-LRFMME forecasts are based on GPC seasonal forecast systems run during the first week of the month for the next season. For example, forecasts runs in first week of January for the seasonal mean of February-March-April.
- Forecasts in slides 42-45 are from the Lead Center.
- For latest seasonal outlook guidance see
<http://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/wcp/wcasp/LC-LRFMME/index.php>
- *For more information see visit Lead Center website; also see Graham, R., and Co-authors, 2011: New perspectives for GPCs, their role in the GFCS and a proposed contribution to a 'World Climate Watch'. Climate Research, 47, 47-55.*

LC-LRFMM Seasonal Forecasts (ensemble means)


(<https://www.wmoc.org/>)

Simple Composite Map
Beijing,CPTEC,ECMWF,Exeter,Melbourne,Montreal,Moscow,Offenbach,Seoul,Tokyo,Toulouse,Washington

[Unit : K]

(issued on Apr2021)


2m Temperature : MJJ2021



Simple Composite Map
Beijing,CPTEC,ECMWF,Exeter,Melbourne,Montreal,Moscow,Offenbach,Seoul,Tokyo,Toulouse,Washington

[Unit : mm]


Precipitation : MJJ2021




LC-LRFMM Seasonal Forecasts (probabilities)

(<https://www.wmoc.org/>)

Probabilistic Multi-Model Ensemble Forecast
Beijing,CPTEC,ECMWF,Exeter,Melbourne,Montreal,Moscow,Offenbach,Seoul,Tokyo,Toulouse,Washington



Probabilistic Multi-Model Ensemble Forecast
Beijing,CPTEC,ECMWF,Exeter,Melbourne,Montreal,Moscow,Offenbach,Seoul,Tokyo,Toulouse,Washington




Seasonal Forecasts from other multi-model systems and linear models


C3S Seasonal Forecast

(https://climate.copernicus.eu/charts/c3s_seasonal/)

C3S multi-system seasonal forecast
Mean 2m temperature anomaly
Nominal forecast start: 01/04/21
Variance-standardized mean




C3S multi-system seasonal forecast
Mean precipitation anomaly
Nominal forecast start: 01/04/21
Variance-standardized mean




North American Multi-Model Ensemble Seasonal Forecast

(<https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/NMME/>)






200mb Height from Linear Model

MJJ2021 200mb Eddy HGT(m)
OBS vs. Linear Model Response to Tropical Heating
Heating is converted from Prate in 15S–15N



Seasonal Forecasts from the Constructed Analog Model



Background & Methodology

Attribution of Seasonal Climate Anomalies

- Goal
 - In the context of prediction of seasonal climate variability, utilize seasonal climate forecasts and atmospheric general circulation model (AGCM) simulations to attribute possible causes for the observed seasonal climate anomalies.
 - The analysis can also be considered as an analysis of predictability of the observed seasonal climate anomalies.

Methodology - 1

- Compare observed seasonal mean anomalies with those from model simulations and forecasts.
- Ensemble averaged model simulated/predicted seasonal mean anomalies are an indication of the predictable (or attributable) component of the corresponding observed anomalies.
- For seasonal mean atmospheric anomalies, predictability could be due to
 - Anomalous boundary forcings [e.g., sea surface temperature (SSTs); soil moisture etc.];
 - Atmospheric initial conditions.
- The influence of anomalous boundary forcings (particularly due to SSTs, can be inferred from the ensemble mean of AGCM simulations forced by observed SSTs, the so called AMIP simulations). This component of predictability (or attributability) is more relevant for longer lead seasonal forecasts.

Methodology - 2

- The influence of the atmospheric initial state can be inferred from initialized predictions. This component is more relevant for short lead seasonal forecasts.
- The influence of unpredictable component in the atmospheric variability can be assessed from the analysis of individual model simulations, and the extent anomalies in individual runs deviate from the ensemble mean anomalies.
- The relative amplitude of ensemble averaged seasonal mean anomalies to the deviations of seasonal mean anomalies in the individual model runs from the ensemble average is a measure of seasonal predictability (or the extent observed anomalies are attributable).
- Observed anomalies are equivalent to a realization of a single model run, and therefore, analysis of individual model runs also gives an appreciation of how much observed anomalies can deviate from the component that is attributable (Kumar et al. 2013).

Data

- Observations
 - SST: OI version 2 analysis (Reynolds et al., 2007)
 - Prec: CMAP monthly analysis (Xie and Arkin, 1997)
 - T2m: GHCN-CAMS land surface temperature monthly analysis (Fan and van den Dool, 2008)
 - 200mb height (z200): CFSR (Saha et al., 2010)
- 0-month-lead seasonal mean forecasts from CFSv2 (Saha et al. 2014)
 - Seasonal forecast: the seasonal mean forecasts based on 40 members from the latest 10 days before the target season (0-month-lead);
 - Reconstructed forecast: the seasonal mean forecasts constructed from 3 individual monthly forecasts with the latest 10 days initial conditions for each individual monthly forecasts. This approach for constructing seasonal mean anomalies has more influence from the initial conditions (Kumar et al. 2013);
- Seasonal mean AMIP simulation from CFSv2 (provided by Dr. Bhaskar Jha/CPC)
 - 18 members
- All above seasonal mean anomalies are based on 1991-2020 climatology.
- z200 responses to tropical heating in linear model (provided by Dr. Peitao Peng/CPC)
- Seasonal mean anomalies of z200, T2m, and Prec forecasted from the Constructed Analog Model (provided by Dr. Peitao Peng/CPC)