# Attribution of Seasonal Climate Anomalies June-July-August 2022

(https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/people/mchen/AttributionAnalysis/)

# Summary of Observed Conditions and Outlooks

- Tropical SST anomalies continued in La Nina conditions; in the equatorial Atlantic, North Pacific, and equatorial eastern Indian Ocean, SST anomalies remained in above normal conditions (slide 4). The large-scale distribution of SST anomalies was predicted well (slide 10);
- AMIP simulations and initialized CFSv2, and other NMME forecasts, all replicated the large-scale distribution of observed precipitation anomalies (<u>a reflection of La Niña conditions</u>) including: above normal anomalies in the equatorial eastern Indian Ocean, Maritime Continent and dry conditions in the equatorial western, central Pacific Ocean (slides 11, 37-39).
- Initialized CFSv2 forecasts predicted the large-scale distribution of observed 200mb height anomalies over tropics, while missing the variations over sub-tropical and high latitudes areas(slides 12, 15).
- AMIP simulations captured the observed positive precipitation and cold temperature anomalies over the southwest monsoon region of NA, while the initialized CFSv2 forecasts had erroneous predictions for both precipitation and temperature anomalies (slides 14, 16).
- Monthly mean forecasts from the shorter leads show improved prediction skill for the NA temperature for August 2022 (Slide 34).

### **Observed Seasonal Anomalies**

### Global and North America

# Observed Anomaly JJA2022



## Observed Anomaly JJA2022



# Observed Anomaly JJA2022



# **CPC Seasonal Outlooks and NMME Forecasts**



For the rationale behind CPC outlooks see: https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/archives/long\_lead/PMD/2022/202205\_PMD90D

Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Mean Anomalies

# Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies

- AMIP simulations forced with observed sea surface temperatures (100 members ensemble)
- CFSv2 real time operational forecasts
  - <u>Seasonal forecast</u>: the seasonal mean forecasts based on 40 members from the latest 10 days before the target season (0-month-lead). For example, 2016AMJ seasonal mean forecasts are 40 members from 22-31 March2016 initial conditions.
  - <u>Reconstructed forecast</u>: the seasonal mean forecasts constructed from 3 individual monthly forecasts with the latest 10 days initial conditions for each individual monthly forecasts. This approach fr constructing seasonal mean anomalies has more influence from the initial conditions (Kumar et al. 2013). For example, the constructed 2016AMJ seasonal mean forecasts are the average of April2016 forecasts from 22-31 March2016 initial conditions, May2016 forecasts from 21-30 April2016 initial conditions, and June2016 forecasts from 22-31 May2016 initial conditions.
- Numbers at the panels indicate the spatial anomaly correlation (AC).

### JJA2022 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies SST(K)



### JJA2022 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies Prec(mm/day)



### JJA2022 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies z200(m)



### JJA2022 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies T2m(K)



### JJA2022 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies Prec(mm/day)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

### JJA2022 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies z200(m)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

### JJA2022 Observed & Model Simulated/Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies T2m(K)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Model Simulated/Forecast Anomalies: Individual Runs

# Model Simulated/Forecast Anomalies: Individual Runs

- In this analysis, anomalies from individual model runs are compared against the observed seasonal mean anomalies. The spatial resemblance between them is quantified based on anomaly correlation (AC).
- The distribution of AC across all model simulations is indicative of probability of observed anomalies to have a predictable (or attributable) component.
- One can also look at best and worst match between model simulated/forecast anomalies to assess the range of possible seasonal mean outcomes.
- For further details see: Kumar, A., M. Chen, M. Hoerling, and J. Eischeid (2013), Do extreme climate events require extreme forcings? Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 3440-3445. <u>doi:10.1002/grl.50657</u>.

JJA2022 Anomaly Correlation for Individual AMIP Simulation with Observation -- z200(20N-90N)

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Observed & AMIP Ensemble Mean Anomalies JJA2022 z200(m) 100 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

JJA2022 Anomaly Correlation for Individual CFSv2 Forecast with Observation -- z200 (20N-90N)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies JJA2022 z200(m) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs Seasonal Forecast

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies JJA2022 z200(m) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs Reconstructed Forecast

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

JJA2022 Anomaly Correlation for Individual CFSv2 Forecast with Observation -- Prec(NA)/SST(30S-30N)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies JJA2022 Prec(mm/day) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs Seasonal Forecast

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies JJA2022 Prec(mm/day) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs Reconstructed Forecast

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

JJA2022 Anomaly Correlation for Individual CFSv2 Forecast with Observation -- T2m(NA)/SST(30S-30N)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies JJA2022 T2m(K) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs Seasonal Forecast

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Observed & CFSv2 Forecast Ensemble Average Anomalies JJA2022 T2m(K) 40 runs/worst 4 runs/best 4 runs Reconstructed Forecast

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

# z200(m) Monthly Means from Seasonal Forecast

Monthly Means from Seasonal Fcst (40ensm) JJA2022 z200(m) eddy & Obs

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

Top row: Observed anomaly.

CFSv2 seasonal forecasts from different initial conditions in the <u>month prior</u> to the <u>target season</u>:

- 2<sup>nd</sup> row: last 10 days of the prior month.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> row: 11<sup>th</sup> 20<sup>th</sup> of the prior month.
- 4<sup>th</sup> row: 1<sup>st</sup> 10<sup>th</sup> of the prior month.

Climate Prediction Center/NCEP/NWS/NOAA

# T2m(k) Monthly Means from Seasonal Forecast

Monthly Means from Seasonal Fcst (40ensm) JJA2022 T2m(K) & Obs

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

Top row: Observed anomaly.

CFSv2 seasonal forecasts from different initial conditions in the <u>month prior</u> to the <u>target season</u>:

- 2<sup>nd</sup> row: last 10 days of the prior month.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> row: 11<sup>th</sup> 20<sup>th</sup> of the prior month.
- 4<sup>th</sup> row: 1<sup>st</sup> 10<sup>th</sup> of the prior month.

Climate Prediction Center/NCEP/NWS/NOAA

# Prec(mm/day) Monthly Means from Seasonal Forecast

Monthly Means from Seasonal Fcst (40ensm) JJA2022 Prec(mm/day) & Obs

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

Top row: Observed anomaly.

CFSv2 seasonal forecasts from different initial conditions in the <u>month prior</u> to the <u>target season</u>:

- 2<sup>nd</sup> row: last 10 days of the prior month.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> row: 11<sup>th</sup> 20<sup>th</sup> of the prior month.
- 4<sup>th</sup> row: 1<sup>st</sup> 10<sup>th</sup> of the prior month.

Climate Prediction Center/NCEP/NWS/NOAA

# z200(m) Monthly Means from Monthly Forecast

Monthly Means from Monthly Fcst JJA2022 z200(m) eddy & Obs

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

Top row: Observed anomaly.

CFSv2 monthly forecasts from different initial conditions in the <u>month prior</u> to the <u>target month</u>:

- 2<sup>nd</sup> row: last 3 days of the prior month.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> row: 18<sup>th</sup> 20<sup>th</sup> of the prior month.
- 4<sup>th</sup> row: 7<sup>th</sup> 10<sup>th</sup> of the prior month.

Climate Prediction Center/NCEP/NWS/NOAA

# T2m(k) Monthly Means from Monthly Forecast

Monthly Means from Monthly Fcst JJA2022 T2m(K) & Obs

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

Top row: Observed anomaly.

CFSv2 monthly forecasts from different initial conditions in the <u>month prior</u> to the <u>target month</u>:

- 2<sup>nd</sup> row: last 3 days of the prior month.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> row: 18<sup>th</sup> 20<sup>th</sup> of the prior month.
- 4<sup>th</sup> row: 7<sup>th</sup> 10<sup>th</sup> of the prior month.

Climate Prediction Center/NCEP/NWS/NOAA

# Prec(/mm/day) Monthly Means from Monthly Forecast

Monthly Means from Monthly Fcst JJA2022 Prec(mm/day) & Obs

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

Top row: Observed anomaly.

CFSv2 monthly forecasts from different initial conditions in the <u>month prior</u> to the <u>target month</u>:

- 2<sup>nd</sup> row: last 3 days of the prior month.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> row: 18<sup>th</sup> 20<sup>th</sup> of the prior month.
- 4<sup>th</sup> row: 7<sup>th</sup> 10<sup>th</sup> of the prior month.

Climate Prediction Center/NCEP/NWS/NOAA

## Seasonal Forecasts from Multi-Model Ensemble Systems

- WMO Lead Center for Long-Range Forecast Multi-Model Ensemble (LC-LRFMME). <u>https://www.wmolc.org/</u>
- Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Multi-model seasonal forecasts. <u>https://climate.copernicus.eu/charts/c3s\_seasonal/</u>
- North American Multi-Model Ensemble (NMME) seasonal forecasts. <u>https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/NMME/</u>

## LC-LRFMM Seasonal Forecasts

(https://www.wmolc.org/)

### Ensemble means

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

Climate Prediction Center/NCEP/NWS/NOAA

## C3S Seasonal Forecast

(https://climate.copernicus.eu/charts/c3s\_seasonal/)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

### North American Multi-Model Ensemble Seasonal Forecast

(https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/NMME/)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

## 200mb Height from Linear Model

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

Pattern COR: global=0.30, tropics(30S-30N)=0.38

## Seasonal Forecasts from the Constructed Analog Model

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

CA T2m Prd for JJA2022, ICs through Aug2022(K), Lead -3

CA Prec Prd for JJA2022, ICs through Aug2022(mm/day), Lead -3

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

Climate Prediction Center/NCEP/NWS/NOAA

# Background & Methodology

## Attribution of Seasonal Climate Anomalies

### Goal

- In the context of prediction of seasonal climate variability,
  utilize seasonal climate forecasts and atmospheric general
  circulation model (AGCM) simulations to attribute possible
  causes for the observed seasonal climate anomalies.
- The analysis can also be considered as an analysis of predictability of the observed seasonal climate anomalies.

# Methodology - 1

- Compare observed seasonal mean anomalies with those from model simulations and forecasts.
- Ensemble averaged model simulated/predicted seasonal mean anomalies are an indication of the predictable (or attributable) component of the corresponding observed anomalies.
- For seasonal mean atmospheric anomalies, predictability could be due to
  - Anomalous boundary forcings [e.g., sea surface temperature (SSTs); soil moisture etc.];
  - Atmospheric initial conditions.
- The influence of anomalous boundary forcings (particularly due to SSTs, can be inferred from the ensemble mean of AGCM simulations forced by observed SSTs, the so called AMIP simulations). This component of predictability (or attributability) is more relevant for longer lead seasonal forecasts.

# Methodology - 2

- The influence of the atmospheric initial state can be inferred from initialized predictions. This component is more relevant for short lead seasonal forecasts.
- The influence of unpredictable component in the atmospheric variability can be assessed from the analysis of individual model simulations, and the extent anomalies in individual runs deviate from the ensemble mean anomalies.
- The relative amplitude of ensemble averaged seasonal mean anomalies to the deviations of seasonal mean anomalies in the individual model runs from the ensemble average is a measure of seasonal predictability (or the extent observed anomalies are attributable).
- Observed anomalies are equivalent to a realization of a single model run, and therefore, analysis of individual model runs also gives an appreciation of how much observed anomalies can deviate from the component that is attributable (Kumar et al. 2013).

# Data

#### Observations

- SST: OI version 2 analysis (Reynolds et al., 2007)
- Prec: CMAP monthly analysis (Xie and Arkin, 1997)
- T2m: GHCN-CAMS land surface temperature monthly analysis (Fan and van den Dool, 2008)
- 200mb height (z200): CFSR (Saha et al., 2010)
- 0-month-lead seasonal mean forecasts from CFSv2 (Saha et al. 2014)
  - <u>Seasonal forecast</u>: the seasonal mean forecasts based on 40 members from the latest 10 days before the target season (0-month-lead);
  - <u>Reconstructed forecast</u>: the seasonal mean forecasts constructed from 3 individual monthly forecasts with the latest 10 days initial conditions for each individual monthly forecasts. This approach for constructing seasonal mean anomalies has more influence from the initial conditions (Kumar et al. 2013);
- Seasonal mean AMIP simulation based on GFS\_FV3 (provided by Dr. Tao Zhang/CPC)
  - 100 members
- All above seasonal mean anomalies are based on 1991-2020 climatology.
- z200 responses to tropical heating in linear model (provided by Dr. Peitao Peng/CPC)
- Seasonal mean anomalies of z200, T2m, and Prec forecasted from the Constructed Analog Model (provided by Dr. Peitao Peng/CPC)